

| | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/284,147 | LANQUETIN ET AL. |
| | Examiner Sabiha Naim Qazi | Art Unit 1616 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sabiha Naim Qazi. (3) _____.
 (2) Charles MUserlian. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant will file a declaration for unexpected results as was requested in our previous office action. Amendments in the claims was discussed in detail in view of 112 (1) rejection. Applicant will amend the claims and would file a 1.132 declaration as soon as possible.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required